

THE REAL AND COMPLEX TECHNIQUES IN HARMONIC ANALYSIS FROM THE COVARIANT TRANSFORM

VLADIMIR V. KISIL

Dedicated to Prof. Sergei V. Rogosin on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. This note reviews complex and real techniques in harmonic analysis. We describe a common source of both approaches rooted in the covariant transform generated by the affine group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The Covariant Transform	2
3. Inverse Covariant Transform	5
4. Intertwining Properties of Covariant Transforms	7
5. Composing the Covariant Transform and the Inverse	9
6. Conclusions	12
References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

There are two main approaches in harmonic analysis on the real line. The real variables technique uses various maximal functions, dyadic cubes and, occasionally, the Poisson integral [26]. The complex variable technique is based on the Cauchy integral and fine properties of analytic functions [23, 24].

Both methods seems to have clear advantages. The real variable technique:

- (i) does not require an introduction of the imaginary unit for a study of real-valued harmonic functions of a real variable (Occam's Razor);
- (ii) allows a straightforward generalisation to several dimensions.

By contrast, an access to the beauty and mighty of analytic functions (e.g., Möbius transformations, factorisation of zeroes, etc. [19]) is the main reason to use the complex variable technique. A posteriori, a multidimensional analytic version was also discovered [21], it is based on the monogenic Clifford-valued functions [3].

Date: September 25, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42-02; Secondary 42A20, 42B20, 42B25, 42B35, 42C40, 43A50, 43A80.

Key words and phrases. wavelet, coherent state, covariant transform, reconstruction formula, the affine group, $\alpha x + b$ -group, square integrable representations, admissible vectors, Hardy space, fiducial operator, approximation of the identity, maximal functions, atom, nucleus, atomic decomposition, Cauchy integral, Poisson integral, Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions, grand maximal function, vertical maximal functions, non-tangential maximal functions, intertwining operator, Cauchy–Riemann operator, Laplace operator, singular integral operator, SIO, boundary behaviour.

On leave from Odessa University.

Therefore, propensity for either techniques becomes a personal choice of a researcher. Some of them prefer the real variable method, explicitly cleaning out any reference to analytic or harmonic functions [26, Ch. III, p. 88]. Others, e.g. [20], happily combine the both techniques. However, the reasons for switching between two methods at particular places may look mysterious.

The purpose of the present paper is to revise the origins of the real and complex variable techniques. Thereafter, we describe the common group-theoretical root of both. Such a unification deepens our understanding of both methods and shed an additional light on their interaction.

Remark 1.1. In this paper, we consider only examples which are supported by the affine group Aff of the real line. In the essence, Aff is the semidirect product of the group of dilations acting on the group of translations. Thus, our consideration can be generalised to the semidirect product of dilations and homogeneous (nilpotent) Lie groups, cf. [7, 16]. However, we do not aim to a high level of generality here, it can be developed in subsequent works once the fundamental issues are sufficiently clarified.

2. THE COVARIANT TRANSFORM

We start from an extension of the wavelet construction from group representation. All groups considered in this paper are Lie groups. Furthermore, all representations of these topological groups are assumed to be continuous in strong operator topology. See [10] for a background in the representation theory.

Definition 2.1 ([13, 15]). Let ρ be a representation of a group G in a space V and F be an operator from V to a space U . We define a *covariant transform* $\mathcal{W}_\rho^F$ from V to the space $L(G, U)$ of U -valued functions on G by the formula:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{W}_\rho^F : v \mapsto \hat{v}(g) = F(\rho(g^{-1})v), \quad v \in V, g \in G.$$

Operator F will be called *fiducial operator* in this context (cf. fiducial vector in [18]).

We may drop the sup/subscripts from $\mathcal{W}_\rho^F$ if the functional F and/or the representation ρ are clear from the context.

Remark 2.2. We do not require that fiducial operator F shall be linear. Sometimes the positive homogeneity, i.e. $F(tv) = tF(v)$ for $t > 0$, alone can be already sufficient, see Example 2.8.

Remark 2.3. It looks like the usefulness of the covariant transform is in the reverse proportion to the dimensionality of the space U . The covariant transform encodes properties of v in a function $\mathcal{W}_\rho^F v$ on G , which is scalar-valued functions if $\dim U = 1$. However, such a simplicity is not always possible. Moreover, the paper [16] gives an important example of covariant transform which provides a simplification even in the case of $\dim U = \dim V$.

We start the list of examples from the classical case of the group-theoretical wavelet transform.

Example 2.4. [2, 6, 6, 12, 18, 25] Let V be a Hilbert space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and ρ be a unitary representation of a group G in the space V . Let $F : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a functional $v \mapsto \langle v, v_0 \rangle$ defined by a vector $v_0 \in V$. The vector v_0 is oftenly called the *mother wavelet* in areas related to signal processing, the *vacuum state* in quantum framework, etc.

In this set-up, the transformation (1) is the well-known expression for a *wavelet transform* [2, (7.48)] (or *representation coefficients*):

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{W} : v \mapsto \hat{v}(g) = \langle \rho(g^{-1})v, v_0 \rangle = \langle v, \rho(g)v_0 \rangle, \quad v \in V, g \in G.$$

The family of vectors $v_g = \rho(g)v_0$ is called *wavelets* or *coherent states*. In this case we obtain scalar valued functions on G , cf. Rem. 2.3. $\diamond$

This scheme is typically carried out for a square integrable representation ρ with v_0 being an admissible vector [2, 4–6, 8, 25]. In this case the wavelet (co-variant) transform is a map into the square integrable functions [5] with respect to the left Haar measure on G . The map becomes an isometry if v_0 is properly scaled. Moreover, we are able to recover the input v from its wavelet transform through the reconstruction formula, which requires an admissible vector as well, see Example 3.3 below. The most popularised case of the above scheme is as follows.

Let $G = \text{Aff}$ be the $ax + b$ (or *affine*) group [2, § 8.2], which is generated by shifts and dilations. Aff is represented (as a topological set) by the upper half-plane $\{(a, b) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}_+, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The group law is:

$$(3) \quad (a, b) \cdot (a', b') = (aa', ab' + b).$$

A left invariant measure on Aff is $a^{-2} da db$.

Remark 2.5. The group Aff definitely escapes Occam's Razor in harmonic analysis, cf. the arguments against the imaginary unit in the Introduction. Indeed, shifts are required to define convolutions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and an *approximation of the identity* [26, § I.6.1] is a convolution with the scaled kernel. The same scaled convolutions define the fundamental *maximal functions* [26, § III.1.2], cf. Example 5.2 below. Thus, we can avoid to use the upper half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$, but the same set will re-invent itself anyway in the form of Aff .

Example 2.6. An isometric representation of Aff on $V = L_p(\mathbb{R})$ is given by the formula:

$$(4) \quad [\rho_p(a, b)f](x) = a^{-\frac{1}{p}} f\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right).$$

The representation (4) is square integrable for $p = 2$. Any function v_0 such that its Fourier transform $\hat{v}_0(\xi)$ satisfy to

$$(5) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2}{\xi} d\xi < \infty$$

is admissible [2, § 12.2]. The continuous wavelet transform is generated by the representation (4) acting on an admissible vector v_0 in the expression (2). The image of a function from $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a function on the upper half-plane square integrable with respect to the measure $a^{-2} da db$. For sufficiently regular $\hat{v}_0$ the admissibility (5) of v_0 follows from a weaker condition

$$(6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_0(x) dx = 0.$$

$\diamond$

However, square integrable representations and admissible vectors does not cover all interesting cases.

Example 2.7. For the above $G = \text{Aff}$ and the representation (4), we consider the operators $F_\pm : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by:

$$(7) \quad F_\pm(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t) dt}{x \mp i}.$$

Then the covariant transform (1) is the Cauchy integral from $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ to the space of functions $\hat{f}(a, b)$ such that $a^{-\frac{1}{p}} \hat{f}(a, b)$ is in the Hardy space in the upper/lower

half-plane $H_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm^2)$. The function $\frac{1}{x \pm i}$ (7) is not an admissible vacuum vector for the representation (4). Thus, the complex analysis become decoupled from the traditional wavelet theory.

Many important objects in harmonic analysis are generated by inadmissible mother wavelets like (7). For example, if $F : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $F : f \mapsto (F_+ f - F_- f)$ then the covariant transform (1) reduces to the *Poisson integral*. If $F : L_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is defined by $F : f \mapsto (F_+ f, F_- f)$ then the covariant transform (1) represents a function f on the real line as a jump:

$$(8) \quad f(z) = f_+(z) - f_-(z), \quad f_\pm(z) \in H_p(\mathbb{R}_\pm^2)$$

between functions analytic in the upper and the lower half-planes, cf. [22, § 2.6]. This coincides with a decomposition of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ into irreducible components of the representation (4). $\diamond$

Example 2.8. A step in a different direction is a consideration of non-linear operators. Take again the $ax + b$ group and its representation (4). We define F to be a homogeneous (but non-linear) functional $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$(9) \quad F_m(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 |f(x)| \, dx.$$

The covariant transform (1) becomes:

$$(10) \quad [W_p^m f](a, b) = F(\rho_p(\frac{1}{a}, -\frac{1}{b})f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \left| a^{\frac{1}{p}} f(ax + b) \right| \, dx = \frac{a^{\frac{1}{q}}}{2} \int_{b-a}^{b+a} |f(x)| \, dx,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, as usual. We will see its connections with the Hardy–Littlewood maximal functions in Example 5.2. $\diamond$

Since linearity has clear advantages, we may prefer to reformulate the last example through linear covariant transforms. The idea is similar to the representation of a convex function as an envelope of linear ones, cf. [9, Ch. I, Lem. 6.1]. To this end, we take a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of linear fiducial functionals and, for a given function f , consider the set of all covariant transforms $W_F f$, $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example 2.9. Let us return to the setup of the previous Example for $G = \text{Aff}$ and its representation (4). Consider the unit ball B in $L_\infty[-1, 1]$. Then, any $\omega \in B$ defines a bounded linear functional F_ω on $L_1(\mathbb{R})$:

$$F_\omega(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f(x) \omega(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \omega(x) \, dx.$$

Of course, $\sup_{\omega \in B} F_\omega(f) = F_m(f)$ with F_m from (9) and for all $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, for the non-linear covariant transform (10) we have the following expression in terms of the linear covariant transforms generated by F_ω :

$$(11) \quad [W_1^m f](a, b) = \sup_{\omega \in B} [W_1^\omega f](a, b).$$

The presence of supremum is the price to pay for such a “linearisation”. $\diamond$

Remark 2.10. The above construction is not much different to the *grand maximal function* [26, § III.1.2]. Although, it may look like a generalisation of covariant transform, grand maximal function can be realised as a particular case of Defn. 2.1. Indeed, let $M(V)$ be a subgroup of the group of all invertible isometries of a metric space V . If ρ represents a group G by isometries of V then we can

consider the group $\tilde{G}$ generated by all finite products of $M(V)$ and $\rho(g)$, $g \in G$ with the straightforward action $\tilde{\rho}$ on V . The grand maximal functions is produced by the covariant transform for the representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of $\tilde{G}$.

Some estimations for covariant transforms on various spaces are given in [1].

3. INVERSE COVARIANT TRANSFORM

Define the left action Λ of a group G on a space of functions over G by:

$$(12) \quad \Lambda(g) : f(h) \mapsto f(g^{-1}h).$$

An object invariant under the left action Λ is called *left invariant*. In particular, let L and L' be two left invariant spaces of functions on G . We say that a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *left invariant* if

$$(13) \quad \langle \Lambda(g)f, \Lambda(g)f' \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle, \quad \text{for all } f \in L, f' \in L', g \in G.$$

Remark 3.1. (i) We do not require the pairing to be linear in general, in some cases it is sufficient to have only homogeneity, see Example 3.5.

(ii) If the pairing is invariant on space $L \times L'$ it is not necessarily invariant (or even defined) on large spaces of functions.

(iii) In some cases, an invariant pairing on G can be obtained from an *invariant functional* l by the formula $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = l(f_1 f_2)$.

For a representation ρ of G in V and $w_0 \in V$, we construct a function $w(g) = \rho(g)w_0$. We assume that the pairing can be extended in its second component to this V -valued functions. For example, such an extension can be defined in the weak sense.

Definition 3.2 ([13, 15]). Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a left invariant pairing on $L \times L'$ as above, let ρ be a representation of G in a space V , we define the function $w(g) = \rho(g)w_0$ for $w_0 \in V$ such that $w(g) \in L'$ in a suitable sense. The *inverse covariant transform* $\mathcal{M}_{w_0}^\rho$ is a map $L \rightarrow V$ defined by the pairing:

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{M}_{w_0}^\rho : f \mapsto \langle f, w \rangle, \quad \text{where } f \in L.$$

We can drop out sup/subscripts in $\mathcal{M}_{w_0}^\rho$ as we are doing for $\mathcal{W}_F^\rho$.

Example 3.3 (Haar paring). The most known example of an invariant pairing on $L_2(G, d\mu) \times L_2(G, d\mu)$ is the integration over the Haar measure:

$$(15) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_G f_1(g)f_2(g) dg.$$

If ρ is a unitary square integrable representation of G and w_0 is an admissible vector, see Example 2.4, then this pairing can be extended to $w(g) = \rho(g)w_0$. The inverse covariant transform is known in this setup as the *reconstruction formula*, cf. [2, (8.19)]:

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{M}_{w_0} f = \int_G f(g) w(g) dg, \quad \text{where } w(g) = \rho(g)w_0.$$

It is possible to use different admissible vectors v_0 and w_0 for the wavelet transform (2) and the reconstruction formula (16), respectively, cf. Example 5.1. $\diamond$

Let

- ρ be not a square integrable representation (even modulo a subgroup); or
- v_0 be an inadmissible vector of a square integrable representation ρ .

A suitable invariant pairing in this case is not associated with an integration over the Haar measure on G . In this case we say about a *Hardy pairing*. The following example explains the name.

Example 3.4. Let G be the $ax + b$ group and its representation ρ (4) from Example 2.6. An invariant pairing on G , which is not generated by the Haar measure $a^{-2}da db$, is:

$$(17) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_H = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(a, b) f_2(a, b) \frac{db}{a}.$$

For this pairing, we can consider functions $\frac{1}{2\pi i(x+i)}$ or e^{-x^2} , which are not admissible vectors in the sense of square integrable representations. For example, for $v_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i(x+i)}$ we obtain:

$$[\mathcal{M}f](x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(a, b) \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{2\pi i(x+ia-b)} db = - \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(a, b) db}{b - (x+ia)}.$$

In other words, it expresses the boundary values at $a = 0$ of the Cauchy integral $-(\mathcal{C}f)(a, x+ia)$. $\diamond$

Here is an important example of non-linear pairing.

Example 3.5. Let $G = \text{Aff}$, an invariant homogeneous functional on G is given by the L_∞ version of the Haar functional (15):

$$(18) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_\infty = \sup_{g \in G} |f_1(g) f_2(g)|.$$

Define the following two functions on $\mathbb{R}$:

$$(19) \quad v_0^+(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } t \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad v_0^*(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |t| \leq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } |t| > 1. \end{cases}$$

The respective inverse covariant transforms are generated by the representation ρ_∞ (4) are:

$$(20) \quad [\mathcal{M}_{v_0^+} f](t) = f^+(t) = \langle f(a, b), \rho_\infty(a, b) v_0^+(t) \rangle_\infty = \sup_a |f(a, t)|,$$

$$(21) \quad [\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*} f](t) = f^*(t) = \langle f(a, b), \rho_\infty(a, b) v_0^*(t) \rangle_\infty = \sup_{a > |b-t|} |f(a, b)|.$$

The transforms (20) and (21) are the *vertical* and *non-tangential maximal functions* [19, § VIII.C.2], respectively. $\diamond$

Example 3.6. Consider again $G = \text{Aff}$ equipped now with an invariant linear functional, which is a Hardy-type modification (cf. (17)) of L_∞ -functional (18):

$$(22) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_\infty = \overline{\lim}_{a \rightarrow 0} \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} (f_1(a, b) f_2(a, b)),$$

where $\overline{\lim}$ is the limit superior. Then, the covariant transform $\mathcal{M}^H$ for this pairing from functions v^+ and v^* (19) becomes:

$$(23) \quad [\mathcal{M}_{v_0^+}^H f](t) = \langle f(a, b), \rho_\infty(a, b) v_0^+(t) \rangle_\infty = \overline{\lim}_{a \rightarrow 0} f(a, t),$$

$$(24) \quad [\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*}^H f](t) = \langle f(a, b), \rho_\infty(a, b) v_0^*(t) \rangle_\infty = \overline{\lim}_{\substack{a \rightarrow 0 \\ |b-t| < a}} f(a, b).$$

They are the *normal* and *non-tangential* limits superior from the upper-half plane to the real line, respectively. $\diamond$

There is the obvious inequality $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_\infty \geq \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_\infty^H$ between pairings (18) and (22), which produces the corresponding relation between respective inverse covariant transforms.

There is an explicit duality between the covariant transform and the inverse covariant transform. Discussion of the grand maximal function in the Rem. 2.10 shows usefulness of the covariant transform over the a family of fiducial functional. Thus, we shall not be surprised by the inverse covariant transform over a family of reconstructing vectors as well.

Definition 3.7. Let $w : \text{Aff} \rightarrow L_1(\mathbb{R})$ be a function. We define a new function $\rho_1 w$ on Aff with values in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ through the point-wise action $[\rho_1 w](g) = \rho_1(g)w(g)$ of ρ_∞ (4). If $\sup_g \|w(g)\|_1 < \infty$, then, for $f \in L_1(\text{Aff})$, we define the *extended inverse covariant transform* by:

$$(25) \quad [\mathcal{M}_w f](x) = \int_{\text{Aff}} f(g) [\rho_1 w](g) dg.$$

Note, that (25) reduces to the inverse covariant transform (16) if we start from the constant function $w(g) = w_0$.

Definition 3.8. We call a function r on $\mathbb{R}$ a *nucleus* if:

- (i) r is supported in $[-1, 1]$,
- (ii) $|r| < \frac{1}{2}$ almost everywhere, and
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} r(x) dx = 0$, cf. (6).

Clearly, for a nucleus r , the function $s = \rho_1(a, b)r$ has properties:

- (i) s is supported in a ball centred at b and radius a ,
- (ii) $|s| < \frac{1}{2a}$ almost everywhere, and
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} s(x) dx = 0$.

In other words, $s = \rho_1(a, b)r$ is an *atom*, cf. [26, § III.2.2] and any atom may be obtained in this way from some nucleus and certain $(a, b) \in \text{Aff}$.

Example 3.9. Let $f(g) = \sum_j \lambda_j \delta_{g_j}(g)$ with $\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$ be a countable sum of point masses on Aff . If all values of $w(g_j)$ are nucleuses, then (25) becomes:

$$(26) \quad [\mathcal{M}_w f](x) = \int_{\text{Aff}} f(g) [\rho_1 w](g) dg = \sum_j \lambda_j s_j,$$

where $s_j = \rho_1(g_j)w(g_j)$ are atoms. The right-hand side of (26) is known as an *atomic decomposition* of a function $h(x) = [\mathcal{M}_w f](x)$, see [26, § III.2.2]. $\diamond$

4. INTERTWINING PROPERTIES OF COVARIANT TRANSFORMS

The covariant transform has obtained its name from the following property:

Theorem 4.1 ([13, 15]). *The covariant transform (1) intertwines ρ and the left regular representation Λ (12) on $L(G, \mathcal{U})$:*

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{W}\rho(g) = \Lambda(g)\mathcal{W}.$$

Corollary 4.2. *The image space $\mathcal{W}(V)$ is invariant under the left shifts on G .*

The covariant transform is also a natural source of *relative convolutions* [11], which are operators $A_k = \int_G k(g)\rho(g) dg$ obtained by integration a representation ρ of a group G with a suitable kernel k on G . In particular, the inverse wavelet transform $\mathcal{M}_{w_0} f$ (16) can be defined from the relative convolution A_f as well: $\mathcal{M}_{w_0} f = A_f w_0$.

Corollary 4.3. *The covariant transform (1) intertwines the operator of convolution K (with kernel k) and the operator of relative convolution A_k , i.e. $K\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}A_k$.*

If the invariant pairing is defined by an integration over the Haar measure, cf. Example 3.3, then we can show an intertwining property for the inverse covariant transform as well.

Proposition 4.4 ([12, Prop. 2.9]). *The inverse wavelet transform $\mathcal{M}_{w_0}$ (16) intertwines the left regular representation Λ (12) on $L_2(G)$ and ρ :*

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{M}_{w_0}\Lambda(g) = \rho(g)\mathcal{M}_{w_0}.$$

Corollary 4.5. *The image $\mathcal{M}_{w_0}(L(G)) \subset V$ of a left invariant space $L(G)$ under the inverse wavelet transform $\mathcal{M}_{w_0}$ is invariant under the representation ρ .*

Remark 4.6. It is an important observation, that the above intertwining property is also true for some inverse covariant transforms which are not based on pairing (15). For example, in the case of the affine group all pairings (17), (22) and (non-linear!) (18) satisfy to (28) for the respective representation ρ_p (4).

There is also a simple connection between a covariant transform and right shifts.

Proposition 4.7 ([14, 15]). *Let G be a Lie group and ρ be a representation of G in a space V . Let $[\mathcal{W}f](g) = F(\rho(g^{-1})f)$ be a covariant transform defined by the fiducial operator $F : V \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Then the right shift $[\mathcal{W}f](gg')$ by g' is the covariant transform $[\mathcal{W}'f](g) = F'(\rho(g^{-1})f)$ defined by the fiducial operator $F' = F \circ \rho(g^{-1})$.*

In other words the covariant transform intertwines right shifts $R(g) : f(h) \mapsto f(hg)$ on the group G with the associated action

$$(29) \quad \rho_B(g) : F \mapsto F \circ \rho(g^{-1})$$

on fiducial operators:

$$(30) \quad R(g) \circ \mathcal{W}_F = \mathcal{W}_{\rho_B(g)F}, \quad g \in G.$$

Although the above result is obvious, its infinitesimal version has interesting consequences. Let G be a Lie group with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and ρ be a smooth representation of G . We denote by $d\rho_B$ the derived representation of the associated representation ρ_B (29) on fiducial operators.

Corollary 4.8 ([14, 15]). *Let a fiducial operator F be a null-solution, i.e. $AF = 0$, for the operator $A = \sum_j a_j d\rho_B^{X_j}$, where $X_j \in \mathfrak{g}$ and a_j are constants. Then the covariant transform $[\mathcal{W}_F f](g) = F(\rho(g^{-1})f)$ for any f satisfies:*

$$D(\mathcal{W}_F f) = 0, \quad \text{where } D = \sum_j \bar{a}_j \mathfrak{L}^{X_j}.$$

Here, $\mathfrak{L}^{X_j}$ are the left invariant fields (Lie derivatives) on G corresponding to X_j .

Example 4.9. Consider the representation ρ (4) of the $ax + b$ group with the $p = 1$. Let A and N be the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ generating one-parameter subgroups $(e^t, 0)$ and $(0, t)$, respectively. Then, the derived representations are:

$$[d\rho^A f](x) = -f(x) - xf'(x), \quad [d\rho^N f](x) = -f'(x).$$

The corresponding left invariant vector fields on $ax + b$ group are:

$$\mathfrak{L}^A = a\partial_a, \quad \mathfrak{L}^N = a\partial_b.$$

The mother wavelet $\frac{1}{x+i}$ in (7) is a null solution of the operator

$$-d\rho^A - id\rho^N = I + (x+i)\frac{d}{dx}.$$

Therefore, the image of the covariant transform with the fiducial operator F_+ (7) consists of the null solutions to the operator $-\mathfrak{L}^A + i\mathfrak{L}^N = ia(\partial_b + i\partial_a)$, that is in the essence the Cauchy–Riemann operator in the upper half-plane. $\diamond$

Example 4.10. In the above setting, the function $p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2+1}$ is a null solution of the operator:

$$(d\rho^A)^2 - d\rho^A + (d\rho^N)^2 = 2I + 4x \frac{d}{dx} + (1+x^2) \frac{d^2}{dx^2}.$$

The covariant transform with the mother wavelet $p(x)$ is the Poisson integral, its values are null solutions to the operator $(\mathfrak{L}^A)^2 - \mathfrak{L}^A + (\mathfrak{L}^N)^2 = a^2(\partial_b^2 + \partial_a^2)$ —the Laplace operator. $\diamond$

Example 4.11. The fiducial functional F_m (9) is a null solution of the following functional equation:

$$F_m - F_m \circ \rho_\infty(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}) - F_m \circ \rho_\infty(\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}) = 0.$$

Consequently, the image of wavelet transform $\mathcal{W}_p^m$ (10) consists of functions which solve the equation:

$$(I - R(\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{1}{2}) - R(\tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}))f = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad f(a, b) = f(\tfrac{1}{2}a, b + \tfrac{1}{2}a) + f(\tfrac{1}{2}a, b - \tfrac{1}{2}a).$$

The last relation is the key to the dyadic cubes technique, see for example [9, Ch. VII, Thm. 1.1] or the picture on the front cover of this book. $\diamond$

The moral of the above examples 4.9–4.11 is: there is a significant freedom in choice of the covariant transforms. However, some fiducial functionals have special properties, which suggest the suitable technique (e.g., analytic, harmonic, dyadic, etc.) following from this selection.

5. COMPOSING THE COVARIANT TRANSFORM AND THE INVERSE

In the case of classical wavelets, the relation between the wavelet transform (2) and the inverse wavelet transform (16) is suggested by their names.

Example 5.1. For a square integrable representation and admissible vectors v_0 and w_0 , there is the relation [2, (8.52)]:

$$\mathcal{M}_{w_0} \mathcal{W}_{v_0} = kI,$$

where the constant k depends on v_0 , w_0 and the Duflo–Moore operator [2, § 8.2; 5]. $\diamond$

It is of interest, that two different vectors can be used as analysing vector in (2) and for the reconstructing formula (16). Even a greater variety can be achieved if we use additional fiducial operators and invariant pairings.

Example 5.2. The composition of the inverse covariant transform $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*}$ (21) with the covariant transform $\mathcal{W}_\infty$ (10) is:

$$\begin{aligned} (31) \quad [\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*} \mathcal{W}_\infty f](b) &= \sup_{a > |b-t|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2a} \int_{t-a}^{t+a} |f(x)| dx \right\} \\ &= \sup_{t' < b < t''} \left\{ \frac{1}{t''-t'} \int_{t'}^{t''} |f(x)| dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*} \mathcal{W}_\infty f$ coincides with the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal function* f^M [19, § VIII.B.1], which contains important information on the original function f . Combining Props. 4.1 and 4.4 (through Rem. 4.6), we deduce that the operator $M : f \mapsto f^M$ intertwines ρ_p with itself: $\rho_p M = M \rho_p$ (yet, M is non-linear). $\diamond$

Example 5.3. Let the mother wavelet $v_0(x) = \delta(x)$ be the Dirac delta function, then the wavelet transform $\mathcal{W}_\delta$ generated by ρ_∞ (4) on $C(\mathbb{R})$ is $[\mathcal{W}_\delta f](a, b) = f(b)$. Take the reconstruction vector $w_0(x) = (1 - \chi_{[-1,1]}(x))/x$ and consider the respective inverse wavelet transform $\mathcal{M}_{w_0}$ produced by the Hardy pairing (17). Then, the composition of both maps is:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{M}_{w_0} \circ \mathcal{W}_\delta f](t) &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(b) \rho_\infty(a, b) w_0(t) \frac{db}{a} \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(b) \frac{1 - \chi_{[-a,a]}(t-b)}{t-b} db \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{|b| > a} \frac{f(b)}{t-b} db. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is a *singular integral operator* (SIO) [22, § 2.6; 26, § 1.5] defined through the principal value (in the sense of Cauchy). $\diamond$

Example 5.4. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a covariant transform generated either by the functional $F_\pm$ (7) (i.e. the Cauchy integral) or $(F_+ - F_-)$ (i.e. the Poisson integral) from the Example 2.7. Then, for the inverse covariant transform $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^+}^H$ (20) the composition $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^+}^H \mathcal{W}$ becomes the normal boundary value of the Cauchy/Poisson integral, respectively. The similar composition $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*}^H \mathcal{W}$ for the reconstructing vector v_0^* (19) turns to be the non-tangential limit of the Cauchy/Poisson integrals. $\diamond$

It is the classical question of harmonic analysis to identify a class of functions on the real line such that $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*}^H \mathcal{W}$ becomes the identity operator on it. Combining intertwining properties of the covariant transform (Prop. 4.1) and its inverse (Prop. 4.4 and Rem. 4.6) we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^*}^H \mathcal{W}$ will intertwine the representation ρ with itself. If we restrict our attention to ρ -irreducible subspace, then a sort of Schur's lemma suggests that such an operator is a (possible zero) multiple of the identity operator. This motivates the following template definition, cf. [17, § 1].

Definition 5.5. For a representation ρ of a group G in a space V , a *generalised Hardy space* H is an ρ -irreducible subspace of V .

Example 5.6. Let $G = \text{Aff}$ and the representation ρ_p is defined in $V = L_p(\mathbb{R})$ by (4). Then the classical Hardy spaces $H_p(\mathbb{R})$ are ρ_p -irreducible, thus are provided by the above definition. $\diamond$

We illustrate the group-theoretical technique by the following statement.

Proposition 5.7. Let B be the spaces of bounded uniformly continuous functions on the real line. Let $F : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a fiducial functional such that:

$$(32) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} F(\rho_\infty(1/a, 0)f) = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in B \text{ such that } f(0) = 0$$

and $F(\rho_\infty(1, b)f)$ is a continuous function of $b \in \mathbb{R}$ for a given $f \in B$.

Then, $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^+}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F$ is a constant times the identity operator on B .

Proof. First of all we note that $\mathcal{M}_{v_0^+}^H \mathcal{W}_F$ is a bounded operator on B . Let $v_{(a,b)}^* = \rho_\infty(a, b)v^*$. Obviously, $v_{(a,b)}^*(0) = v^*(-\frac{b}{a})$ is an eigenfunction for operators $\Lambda(a', 0)$, $a' \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of the left regular representation of Aff :

$$(33) \quad \Lambda(a', 0)v_{(a,b)}^*(0) = v_{(a,b)}^*(0).$$

For any $(a, 0) \in \text{Aff}$, from (33), the left invariance of the pairing (13) and the intertwining properties (27) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F f](0) &= [\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda(a,0)\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F f](0) \\ &= [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \Lambda(1/a, 0) \circ \mathcal{W}_F f](0) \\ &= [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F \circ \rho_\infty(1/a, 0) f](0) \end{aligned}$$

Using the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ (32) and the continuity of $F \circ \rho_\infty(1, b)$ we conclude that the linear functional $l : f \mapsto [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F f](0)$ vanishes for any $f \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Take a function $f_1 \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $f_1(0) = 1$ and define $c = l(f_1)$. From linearity of l , for any $f \in \mathbb{B}$ we have:

$$l(f) = l(f - f(0)f_1 + f(0)f_1) = l(f - f(0)f_1) + f(0)l(f_1) = cf(0).$$

Furthermore, using the intertwining properties (27) and (28):

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F f](t) &= [\rho_\infty(1, -t) \circ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F f](0) \\ &= [\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{V}_0^*}^H \circ \mathcal{W}_F \circ \rho_\infty(1, -t) f](0) \\ &= l(\rho_\infty(1, -t) f) \\ &= c[\rho_\infty(1, -t) f](0) \\ &= cf(t). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. $\square$

To get the classical statement we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8. For $w(t) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$, define the fiducial functional on $\mathbb{B}$:

$$(34) \quad F(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) w(t) dt.$$

Then F satisfies to the condition (and thus conclusions) of Prop. 5.7.

Proof. Let f be a continuous bounded function such that $f(0) = 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ chose

- $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(t)| < \varepsilon$ for all $|t| < \delta$;
- $M > 0$ such that $\int_{|t| > M} |w(t)| dt < \varepsilon$.

Then, for $a < \delta/M$, we have the estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} |F(\rho_\infty(1/a, 0)f)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(at) w(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{|t| < M} f(at) w(t) dt \right| + \left| \int_{|t| > M} f(at) w(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\|w\|_1 + \|f\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for a uniformly continuous function g : for $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $|g(t+b) - g(t)| < \varepsilon$ for all $b < \delta$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then:

$$|F(\rho_\infty(1, b)g) - F(g)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g(t+b) - g(t)) w(t) dt \right| \leq \varepsilon \|w\|_1.$$

That demonstrates the continuity of $F(\rho_\infty(1, b)g)$ at $b = 0$ and, by the group property, at any other point as well. $\square$

Remark 5.9. A direct evaluation shows, that the constant $c = l(f_1)$ from the proof of Prop. 5.7 for the fiducial functional (34) is equal to $c = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(t) dt$. Of course, for non-trivial boundary values we need $c \neq 0$. On the other hand, the admissibility condition (6) requires $c = 0$. In this sense, the classical harmonic analysis

and the traditional wavelet construction are two orthogonal parts of the same covariant transform theory.

The table integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dx}{x^2+1} = \pi$ tells that the “wavelet” $p(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+t^2}$ is in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ with $c = 1$, the corresponding wavelet transform is the Poisson integral. Its boundary behaviour from Prop. 5.7 is the classical result, cf. [9, Ch. I, Cor. 3.2].

The comparison between our demonstrations and the traditional proofs, e.g. in [9], does not reveal any significant distinctions. We simply made an explicit usage of the relevant group structure, which is implicitly employed in traditional texts anyway. Further demonstrations of this type can be found in [1].

6. CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated that the both—real and complex—techniques in harmonic analysis have the same group-theoretical origin. Moreover, they are complemented by the wavelet construction. Therefore, there is no any confrontation between these approaches. In other words, the binary opposition of the real and complex methods resolves into Kant’s triad thesis-antithesis-synthesis: complex-real-covariant.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amer Albargi, *Some estimations for covariant transforms* (2013). (In preparation). ↑5, 12
- [2] Syed Twareque Ali, Jean-Pierre Antoine, and Jean-Pierre Gazeau, *Coherent states, wavelets and their generalizations*, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR2002m:81092 ↑2, 3, 5, 9
- [3] F. Brackx, Richard Delanghe, and F. Sommen, *Clifford analysis*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 76, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1982. MR85j:30103 ↑1
- [4] Jens Gerlach Christensen and Gestur Ólafsson, *Examples of coorbit spaces for dual pairs*, Acta Appl. Math. **107** (2009), no. 1–3, 25–48. MR2520008 ↑3
- [5] M. Duflo and Calvin C. Moore, *On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group*, J. Functional Analysis **21** (1976), no. 2, 209–243. MR52#14145 ↑3, 9
- [6] Hans G. Feichtinger and K. H. Gröchenig, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions. I*, J. Funct. Anal. **86** (1989), no. 2, 307–340. MR1021139 (91g:43011) ↑2, 3
- [7] G.B. Folland and E.M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous group*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1982. ↑2
- [8] Hartmut Führ, *Abstract harmonic analysis of continuous wavelet transforms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1863, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. MR2130226 (2006m:43003) ↑3
- [9] John B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, first, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 236, Springer, New York, 2007. MR2261424 (2007e:30049) ↑4, 9, 12
- [10] A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the theory of representations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. Translated from the Russian by Edwin Hewitt, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 220. MR54#447 ↑2
- [11] Vladimir V. Kisil, *Relative convolutions. I. Properties and applications*, Adv. Math. **147** (1999), no. 1, 35–73. E-print: [arXiv:funct-an/9410001](https://arxiv.org/abs/funct-an/9410001), On-line. Zbl933.43004. MR1725814 (2001h:22012) ↑7
- [12] ———, *Wavelets in Banach spaces*, Acta Appl. Math. **59** (1999), no. 1, 79–109. E-print: [arXiv:math/9807141](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9807141), On-line. MR1740458 (2001c:43013) ↑2, 8
- [13] ———, *Wavelets beyond admissibility*, Progress in analysis and its applications, Proceedings of the 7th International ISAAC Congress, 2010, pp. 219–225. E-print: [arXiv:0911.4701](https://arxiv.org/abs/0911.4701). MR2766965. ↑2, 5, 7
- [14] ———, *Covariant transform*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series **284** (2011), no. 1, 012038. E-print: [arXiv:1011.3947](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.3947). ↑8
- [15] ———, *Erlangen programme at large: an Overview*, Advances in applied analysis, 2012, pp. 1–94. E-print: [arXiv:1106.1686](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.1686). ↑2, 5, 7, 8
- [16] ———, *Operator covariant transform and local principle*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45** (2012), 244022. E-print: [arXiv:1201.1749](https://arxiv.org/abs/1201.1749). <http://stacks.iop.org/1751-8121/45/244022>. ↑2
- [17] ———, *Induced representations and hypercomplex numbers*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras (2013). E-print: [arXiv:0909.4464](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4464). (To appear). ↑10
- [18] John R. Klauder and Bo-Sture Skagerstam (eds.), *Coherent states: Applications in physics and mathematical physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1985. MR826247 (87a:81070) ↑2

- [19] Paul Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, Second, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 115, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With two appendices by V. P. Havin [Viktor Petrovich Khavin]. MR1669574 (2000b:30052) ↑1, 6, 9
- [20] Steven G. Krantz, *Explorations in harmonic analysis. With applications to complex function theory and the Heisenberg group*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2009. With the assistance of Lina Lee. MR2508404 (2011a:43017) ↑2
- [21] Alan McIntosh, *Clifford algebras, Fourier theory, singular integral operators, and partial differential equations on Lipschitz domains*, Clifford algebras in analysis and related topics, 1995, pp. 33–88. ↑1
- [22] Vladimir V. Mityushev and Sergei V. Rogosin, *Constructive methods for linear and nonlinear boundary value problems for analytic functions. Theory and applications*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 108, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000. MR1739063 (2001d:30075) ↑4, 10
- [23] Nikolai K. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 1: Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 92, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann. MR1864 396 ↑1
- [24] ———, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 2: Model operators and systems*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 93, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann and revised by the author. MR1892 647 ↑1
- [25] A. Perelomov, *Generalized coherent states and their applications*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR87m:22035 ↑2, 3
- [26] Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real-variable methods, ortogonality and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993. ↑1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UK

E-mail address: kisilv@maths.leeds.ac.uk

URL: <http://www.maths.leeds.ac.uk/~kisilv/>